



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,199	06/13/2007	Zhao Yi Wang	180.00120101	4302
26813 7590 07/23/2009 MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A. P.O. BOX 581336 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1336				
EXAMINER				
SHAFFER, SHULAMITH H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1647				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/23/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/591,199

Applicant(s)

WANG, ZHAO YI

Examiner

SHULAMITH H. SHAFER

Art Unit

1647

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHULAMITH H. SHAFER.(3) Christopher Gram.(2) Lorraine Spector.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-16, 29-38 and 52.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rosen et al. USPGPUB 2007/0015271.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 112, 2nd rejection of Claim 29 was discussed. Examiners and Attorney discussed how proposed claim language may read on a patentably distinct invention. The rejection of the claims under 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosen et al was discussed. Possible amendments to the claims and the possibility of a product by process claims to overcome the outstanding art rejection were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shulamith H. Shafer/
Examiner, Art Unit 1647